

20080204.ba v04_n144.bam.20080204

>From ???@??? Mon Feb 4 20:04:39 2008 -0600
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 20:03:45 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 4144
Message-Id: <20080205010351.EB67A4701D5@srvr1.theporch.com>

BOATANCHORS Digest 4144

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Re: Retaliatory Feedback
by "Jim Isbell, W5JAI" <jim.isbell@gmail.com>
- 2) Ebay Feedback
by "Tom Bridgers" <Tarheel6@msn.com>
- 3) eBay
by AB Bonds <ab@vuse.vanderbilt.edu>
- 4) Re: Has Ebay changed this much?
by "Sandy" <ebjr37@charter.net>
- 5) Re: Has Ebay changed this much?
by "Sandy" <ebjr37@charter.net>
- 6) Re: Has Ebay changed this much?
by Robert Nickels <w9ran@oneradio.net>
- 7) Re: Ebay Feedback
by "Jim Isbell, W5JAI" <jim.isbell@gmail.com>
- 8) How about we get back on Old Tube Radios
by listtown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
- 9) Re: Power Up BC-348-0
by "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>
- 10) Re: Power Up BC-348-0
by wf2u@ws19ops.com
- 11) Re: Power Up BC-348-0
by WA5CAB@cs.com
- 12) Re: Power Up BC-348-0
by Jack Antonio <scr287@sbcglobal.net>
- 13) Re: RAO-7 needs a new home.. SOLD
by John Poulton <jp@cs.unc.edu>
- 14) Re: Power Up BC-348-0
by Edward J White <wa3bzt@verizon.net>

Message-ID: <b0295dbe080204075605aaf714kbeeb187764ea0813@mail.gmail.com>
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 09:56:53 -0600
From: "Jim Isbell, W5JAI" <jim.isbell@gmail.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Retaliatory Feedback

Cc: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

On Feb 4, 2008 9:34 AM, John K9UWA <k9uwa@arrl.net> wrote:

> can you tell me whats good about this change in feedback? ... I have
> been registered with ebay for over 10 years... my 870 feedback at 100%
> is made up of probably a few more buys than sells I only now and then
> get into a sell mode... like one month out of every two years...
> so with this new system... I decide to sell ... I put up 5 auctions... one
> guy
> is dissatisfied with whatever widget he bought and immediately my
> FeedBack Percentage is 80% ... yeah all that old stuff doesn't count toward
> the percentages... so at 80% I am going to get NARU'ed ... then if this
> jerk
> buyer has less than 100 feedback I can't give him anything BUT positive
> feedback.....
> but guess U think that's an improvement? ...

Exactly the point I have been trying to make. Its a two way street
and I don't think they should let the buyers bitch and then cut off
the sellers at the knees and not allow they to explain why the jerk is
wrong in his criticism. Now if you want to take away the feedback
from BOTH parties then that would at least be fair, but to say only
buyers can complain is ridiculous.

Jim Isbell

"If you are not living on the edge, well then,
you are just taking up too much space."

Message-ID: <BAY111-DAV15AC3E71D15C3846DB60F090330@phx.gbl>
From: "Tom Bridgers" <Tarheel6@msn.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Ebay Feedback
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 12:07:10 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
format=flowed;
charset="iso-8859-1";
reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Personally I like the new system. HOWEVER, how do you deal with the
unethical buyers or the "never-satisfied" buyers or the
"always-finding-fault buyers"? (1) Clearly there needs to be a count kept

for each Ebay buyer who gives negative feedback, and then (2) that information should be available to the seller.

Then the seller can make an informed decision as to whether to sell to that individual buyer or not. If a buyer has left a large number (an excessive number) of negatives, then the seller needs to have that information at hand.

Will eBay do that? I dunno.
73's,
-Tom

Content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="----_=_NextPart_001_01C86751.44DC8DCF"
Subject: eBay
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 11:13:32 -0600
Message-ID: <C2E12B15D2CA56409ED5354B2AA78B0D02E704CF@eecsmail.eecs.local>
From: AB Bonds <ab@vuse.vanderbilt.edu>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C86751.44DC8DCF
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Having been stigmatized a couple of times by sellers because I had the= audacity to complain that what they sent was not right (or ill-describe= d junk) I applaud the removal of feedback from sellers. In one case I= didn't even post the negative feedback but they dinged me anyway. Sigh.

=20

A. B. Bonds

=20

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C86751.44DC8DCF
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *

* * * * *

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C86751.44DC8DCF--

Message-ID: <002601c86752\$4e0d41d0\$6bd29e18@gateway>

From: "Sandy" <ebjr37@charter.net>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: Has Ebay changed this much?

Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 11:20:56 -0600

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

format=flowed;

charset="iso-8859-1";

reply-type=original

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I was always fascinated by e-bay. Lots of stuff you might have trouble finding were there. Some bargains and some outrageous bidding from bidders with more money than good sense!

It was sort of a "continuous hamfest flea market". It was also less trouble packing and shipping small items than bringing all that stuff to a hamfest and then back home! (Especially when you are older and "creakier"!)

No doubt the people at e-bay have gotten greedy like most large and growing corporate entities. Maybe they are outsourcing the maintenance of the site and the frequent changes are costing less to implement?

Anyway, a sad state of affairs.

73,

Sandy W5TVW

----- Original Message -----

From: "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>

To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Sent: Sunday, February 03, 2008 11:42 PM

Subject: Re: Has Ebay changed this much?

>> If you are a seller who has carefully maintained a perfect record for ten
>> years running now like I have prepare for all that to change. I think we
> all
>> may be about to get some unwarranted negatives and there will be nothing
> we can
>> do about it. I have been preaching this for years. Ebay was a fabulous
> venue

>> in the late nineties through the early 2000's but it changed when they
>> started getting big and tinkering and tweaking the website every week. It
> seemed
>> like every week when I went to list something it never worked the same
> way
>> twice in a row. Always new rules to figure out and pages to fill in with
> new
>> added fees to pay.
>
>
> This is typical bigness syndrome. Whether government or corporate the
> organization has gotten so large it loses control of itself. The fawning
> "managers" give their approval for changes that come up from the ranks but
> aren't really committed to an organizational ethic or mission. They are
> only concerned with keeping their jobs by hook or crook. That's the
> America
> we live in today. Do we have a chance at stopping this madness?
>
> Arden Allen
> KB6NAX
>
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.5.516 / Virus Database: 269.19.19/1258 - Release Date: 2/4/2008
> 10:10 AM
>
>

Message-ID: <007501c86756\$e06d0a70\$6bd29e18@gateway>
From: "Sandy" <ebjr37@charter.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Has Ebay changed this much?
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 11:53:40 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 format=flowed;
 charset="iso-8859-1";
 reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A second comment...

We had in the town of Ponchatoula, LA, antique auctions every Saturday
night. They were very interesting to go to and some dynamite items could be

had there. I saw a Pfaff treadle sewing machine that was absolutely "mint" and had all the accessories in the sewing table. This was a "zig-zag" machine which I'd NEVER seen in a treadle run machine. I figured it would sell for an astronomical sum, so didn't stay long enough until they got to that item. It sold for \$60...a real steal! All the local antique dealers on the main drag in Ponchatoula used to go there for items to put in their shops.

Unfortunately, and eventually, the proprietors of the auction house began to put "shills" in the audience to blow up the bid if it wasn't going high enough and the "bargains" disappeared. The local "dealers" got disinterested by then as they could no longer buy low enough to make a profit if the item was purchased for their shops. The auction place eventually closed down on account of this practice. Greed on the auction house's part killed the business. It WAS very well attended every Saturday and was a lot of fun. I was living in the Metairie, LA area at the time. By the time I moved to this area in 1999 the auction house was finished. Was looking forward to going there every Saturday, but alas!

Maybe e-bay will ultimately suffer a similar fate?

73,

Sandy W5TVW

----- Original Message -----

From: <WA1KBQ@aol.com>

To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Sent: Monday, February 04, 2008 5:21 AM

Subject: Re: Has Ebay changed this much?

> Unfortunately eBay has such a stronghold on the Internet based auction
> business it would be financial suicide to list something somewhere else.
> Maybe
> they will continue to deteriorate to the point sellers will choose an
> alternative venue and make it successful.
>
>
> I recently sold something to a buyer who sent a complaint for
> misrepresentation after the item arrived. I asked for a picture of the
> alleged fault and it
> revealed he had swapped a damaged part from one he must have already had
> in
> order to force a reimbursement from me. I asked him to return the item
> for a
> complete refund including return shipping and he refused. Since I had a
> perfect record to protect I had no choice but to comply. This type of
> thing may

> increase with the new rules. Be sure to sign your name on tubes.
>
> Greg
>
>
>
>
>
> *****Biggest Grammy Award surprises of all time on AOL Music.
> ([http://music.aol.com/grammys/pictures/never-won-a-grammy?](http://music.aol.com/grammys/pictures/never-won-a-grammy?NCID=aolcmp0030000000025)
NCID=aolcmp0030000000025
> 48)
>
>
>
>
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Free Edition.
> Version: 7.5.516 / Virus Database: 269.19.19/1258 - Release Date: 2/4/2008
> 10:10 AM
>
>

Message-ID: <47A75A7E.6010804@oneradio.net>
Date: Mon, 04 Feb 2008 12:33:34 -0600
From: Robert Nickels <w9ran@oneradio.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Has Ebay changed this much?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sandy wrote:

> We had in the town of Ponchatoula, LA, antique auctions every Saturday
> night.

Sandy, a buddy of mine goes to a lot of estate auctions here in IL and WI and I guess it's become pretty comical. Many in attendance are only there to buy "inventory" they can flip on eBay and of course bickering and one-upsmanship abounds. I'm told it is of high importance to safeguard one's eBay ID and to fuzz up the location of items later sold so your results can't easily be traced, else those you out-bid will make sure your next "inventory purchase" comes at a premium. Or they'll buy some small item and then leave negative feedback just for spite. This encourages multiple IDs and "RadioMart-like" practices. Human nature being what it is, this is probably nothing new, but the search and history tools at eBay make it a lot easier to play silly games.

Between this and freight cost increases, I think we will see the pendulum swing back to a better mix of live in-person sales at hamfests and other venues.

73, Bob W9RAN

Message-ID: <b0295dbe0802041126v23a58331j3f450f3596b8e015@mail.gmail.com>
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 13:26:33 -0600
From: "Jim Isbell, W5JAI" <jim.isbell@gmail.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Ebay Feedback
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

Will E Bay remove all those black marks that were not deserved? If not then they should not muzzle the sellers! It should be a two way street. What is good for the goose is good for the gander.

On Feb 4, 2008 11:07 AM, Tom Bridgers <Tarheel6@msn.com> wrote:
> Personally I like the new system. HOWEVER, how do you deal with the
> unethical buyers or the "never-satisfied" buyers or the
> "always-finding-fault buyers"? (1) Clearly there needs to be a count kept
> for each Ebay buyer who gives negative feedback, and then (2) that
> information should be available to the seller.
>
> Then the seller can make an informed decision as to whether to sell to that
> individual buyer or not. If a buyer has left a large number (an excessive
> number) of negatives, then the seller needs to have that information at
> hand.
>
> Will eBay do that? I dunno.
> 73's,
> -Tom
>
>

--
Jim Isbell
"If you are not living on the edge, well then,
you are just taking up too much space."

From: listtown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: How about we get back on Old Tube Radios
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 11:27:22 -0800 (PST)
Message-Id: <20080204192722.D9DA81602D@osr506.nanniandjack.com>

Gang-

At great risk of starting, or worse, fanning the flames on another off-topic discussion, while at the same time increasing the SPAM level sent to this address (not sure that is possible), I would like to weigh in on a few things:

1) Those of you who have been bitching about me as "curmudgeon" buried in the dark ages, eschewing all the fancy dancy html email technologies, could at very *LEAST* (and never let it be said you did more than the very least) use your damned mouse and delete the quoted text that is not needed to maintain the context of your response. -- this is *NOT* about bandwidth, but about wasting the time and resources of the group by asking them to re-read all the prior discussions and clogging the archives with reptitious messages -- it is noise, plain and simple...

2) Secondly, on this same topic, leave those who have chosen emailers that do not produce plain text alone... they are (generally) aware that many folks will not see their posts, and they are OK with that. I am not planning to invest much energy in fixing the filter that was originally designed to merely block attachments (and it has done this job VERY well for a long time -- BTW, it was not a virus that was propagated to the list that caused the filter, but a high-resolution, 2 MB Jpeg of a rig, that at the time blew us into the weeds -- about 2.5 GIGABYTES of email to be delivered over an ISDN line... The filter has successfully block some viral propagations as well, but that was not the intent.

3) I have bought and sold on EBay. As I have tried reminding you all before, discussions of the "E-Place" policies and all belong far away from the BoatAnchors list! We have maintained good signal to noise for MORE than 15 years, and worrying about feedback on EBay is so far off-topic (yes, I am well aware that many of you buy and sell there) that even my youngest granddaughter could suggest better venues for holding the discussion.

4) The ARRL has long been declared off-topic. The possibility of a ruling against AM, and hence many of the rigs we cherish, is ON-topic. Leave the ARRL, the FCC, RSGB, politics in venues they belong in, but NOT here!

5) Act gentlemanly and lady-like to your fellow BoatAnchors list

members. There is NEVER a need for an ad hominem attack or rude behavior.

Phil and I have considered a move to mailman as a list processor for emails to the list. Before we go, we will engage every mechanism we can to strip off html, "pretty print", attachments, and the like. Once I figure out how to store and index things on a newer operating system, I will pursue the switch...

Meanwhile, have fun, stay on topic or take your discussions elsewhere, and be kind to each other...

Don't make this old curmudgeon get out the razorstrop!

--

73

Jack, W4KH/Mobile - - - Mailing List Archiver/Owner - - -
listtown@nanniandjack.com - "Plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose"
"Il n'y a que les idiots qui ne changent jamais d'idee"

Message-ID: <BAY110-DAV11207CFECEB3DAFB54DF25A0330@phx.gbl>

From: "JAMES HANLON" <knjhanlon@msn.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: Power Up BC-348-0

Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 12:31:16 -0700

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ed,

I'm reading from my Surplus Radio Conversion Manual, Volume 1, second edition, 1948 right now. For the BC348 (all but the J, Q, and N models), connections to the output plug (original) are as follows: 1- Output (phones or speaker), 2 - B plus, 3 - 28 volts plus, 4 - 28 volts plus, 5 - Output, 6 - Screen grid voltage to IF, 7 - Ground (B minus, filament common). On the schematic, pin 8 is also shown as a ground connection.

The text of the conversion article also says that you want to connect together terminals 2 and 6, the B plus and the IF screen voltage terminals.

As to your audio output questions, my schematic shows that the side of the output transformer connected to pin 5 is grounded internally. It also shows that the two audio output jacks are connected across the line to the output transformer. The conversion text says that the output transformer has two connections, for 500 and 4500 ohms. It suggests you use an output transformer to match to a speaker. You could connect that transformer

either to the Output pins 1 and 5, or to a plug that goes into one of the phone jacks. You should be able to use another of the phone jacks for your headphones as well.

I could scan in and send you the conversion article if you'd like to have it. It is only about 10 pages. The schematic is severed in the middle and a little of it has been lost, but it could still be quite useful. Let me know if you want it.

Jim Hanlon, W8KGI, knjhanlon@msn.com

----- Original Message -----

From: "Edward J White" <wa3bzt@verizon.net>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 03, 2008 11:26 AM
Subject: Power Up BC-348-0

> Hi Gang:
> Getting ready to power up the BC-348-0 after a couple of years. And just
> want to check to make sure that I am doing things correct. On the power
> connector +28 volts to Pins 3 & 4, - 28 volts to Pins 7 & 8.
> Do I ground pin 5 audio output also?
> T 101A is set to pin 4, 4000 ohms
>
> On the audio output is there a way to tie the rig to a speaker and still
> use the WEC headset?
>
> Ed
> WA3BZT
>

Message-ID: <20080204133426.25ykv7mz0ocs8cgg@webmail.opentransfer.com>
Date: Mon, 04 Feb 2008 13:34:26 -0600
From: wf2u@ws19ops.com
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Power Up BC-348-0
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset=ISO-8859-1;
 DelSp="Yes";
 format="flowed"
Content-Disposition: inline
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I suggest not to use the SRCM suggestions as far as how to power up =20

equipment. More surplus stuff was rendered useless or didn't work properly as a result of following these instructions.

The best bet is to get a copy of the military manual for the receiver and follow the proper connections there.

73, Meir WF2U
Landrum, SC

Quoting JAMES HANLON <knjhanlon@msn.com>:

> Ed,
>
> I'm reading from my Surplus Radio Conversion Manual, Volume 1, second
> edition, 1948 right now. For the BC348 (all but the J, Q, and N models),
> connections to the output plug (original) are as follows: 1- Output (phones
> or speaker), 2 - B plus, 3 - 28 volts plus, 4 - 28 volts plus, 5 - Output,
> 6 - Screen grid voltage to IF, 7 - Ground (B minus, filament common). On
> the schematic, pin 8 is also shown as a ground connection.
>
> The text of the conversion article also says that you want to connect
> together terminals 2 and 6, the B plus and the IF screen voltage terminals=
> .
>
> As to your audio output questions, my schematic shows that the side of the
> output transformer connected to pin 5 is grounded internally. It also shows
> that the two audio output jacks are connected across the line to the output
> transformer. The conversion text says that the output transformer has two
> connections, for 500 and 4500 ohms. It suggests you use an output
> transformer to match to a speaker. You could connect that transformer
> either to the Output pins 1 and 5, or to a plug that goes into one of the
> phone jacks. You should be able to use another of the phone jacks for your
> headphones as well.
>
> I could scan in and send you the conversion article if you'd like to have
> it. It is only about 10 pages. The schematic is severed in the middle and
> a little of it has been lost, but it could still be quite useful. Let me
> know if you want it.
>
> Jim Hanlon, W8KGI, knjhanlon@msn.com
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Edward J White" <wa3bzt@verizon.net>
> To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
> Sent: Sunday, February 03, 2008 11:26 AM
> Subject: Power Up BC-348-0
>
>
>> Hi Gang:
>> Getting ready to power up the BC-348-0 after a couple of years. And just
>> want to check to make sure that I am doing things correct. On the power
>> connector +28 volts to Pins 3 & 4, - 28 volts to Pins 7 & 8.
>> Do I ground pin 5 audio output also?
>> T 101A is set to pin 4, 4000 ohms
>>
>> On the audio output is there a way to tie the rig to a speaker and still
>> use the WECO headset?
>>
>> Ed
>> WA3BZT
>>
>
>

From: WA5CAB@cs.com
Message-ID: <c73.26418c19.34d8ccb3@cs.com>
Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 15:16:51 EST
Subject: Re: Power Up BC-348-0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="part1_c73.26418c19.34d8ccb3_boundary"

--part1_c73.26418c19.34d8ccb3_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm inclined to agree with Meir on past experience, and not just because I'm one of the sources of manuals, either. However, in this case the only two errors I see are that the rated impedance of the audio pack secondary is 4000 and 250, and the earlier models in the two large model groups (all excluding JNQ) do not have the 250 ohm tap.

If you want to use the BC-348 with an LS-3 you need to use the 4000 ohm tap. Same for Headsets HS-18 and HS-23. For low-Z equipment (LS-9, HS-33 or HS-38) use the 250 tap.

In a message dated 2/4/2008 1:43:18 PM Central Standard Time,

wf2u@ws19ops.com writes:

> I suggest not to use the SRCM suggestions as far as how to power up
> equipment. More surplus stuff was rendered useless or didn't work
> properly as a result of following these instructions.
>
> The best bet is to get a copy of the military manual for the receiver
> and follow the proper connections there.
>
> 73, Meir WF2U
> Landrum, SC
>
> >
> >I'm reading from my Surplus Radio Conversion Manual, Volume 1, second
> >edition, 1948 right now. For the BC348 (all but the J, Q, and N models),
> >connections to the output plug (original) are as follows: 1- Output
> (phones
> >or speaker), 2 - B plus, 3 - 28 volts plus, 4 - 28 volts plus, 5 - Output,
> >6 - Screen grid voltage to IF, 7 - Ground (B minus, filament common). On
> >the schematic, pin 8 is also shown as a ground connection.
> >
> >The text of the conversion article also says that you want to connect
> >together terminals 2 and 6, the B plus and the IF screen voltage terminals.
> >
> >As to your audio output questions, my schematic shows that the side of the
> >output transformer connected to pin 5 is grounded internally. It also
> shows
> >that the two audio output jacks are connected across the line to the output
> >transformer. The conversion text says that the output transformer has two
> >connections, for 500 and 4500 ohms. It suggests you use an output
> >transformer to match to a speaker. You could connect that transformer
> >either to the Output pins 1 and 5, or to a plug that goes into one of the
> >phone jacks. You should be able to use another of the phone jacks for your
> >headphones as well.
>

Robert & Susan Downs - Houston
<<http://www.wa5cab.com>> (Web Store)
MVPA 9480
<wa5cab@cs.com> (Primary email)
<wa5cab@comcast.net> (Backup email)

--part1_c73.26418c19.34d8ccb3_boundary
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *

* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
* Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *

--part1_c73.26418c19.34d8ccb3_boundary--

Message-ID: <47A77F28.1090901@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Mon, 04 Feb 2008 13:10:00 -0800
From: Jack Antonio <scr287@sbcglobal.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Power Up BC-348-0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

What I thought was interesting is that in Volume One
of the series, they made a note to the effect that:

It is of special note that the B- of the BC-348Q is
not grounded.

Which would lead you to beleive incorrectly that the
B- was grounded in the non-Q sets.

Jack Antonio WA7DIA
scr287@sbcglobal.net

Date: Mon, 4 Feb 2008 18:37:04 -0500 (EST)
From: John Poulton <jp@cs.unc.edu>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: RA0-7 needs a new home.. SOLD
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.64.0802041836230.7798@swan5.cs.unc.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

The RA0-7 has been spoken for. Thanks!

73, John

On Sun, 3 Feb 2008, John Poulton wrote:

> I have a fairly nice example of the National RAO-7 (the NC-100-variant coil-
> catacomb receiver used throughout the Navy in WW2 and afterwards). It's
> finished in a
> dark gray, rather than the more typical black wrinkle, and is it fairly
> decent,
> mostly un-molested condition. There are a few paint scrapes and scuffs, and
> it could use a good cleaning. The dial markings are slightly faded, but still
> legible. The antenna connector has been modified to accept a PL259. Pix at:
>
> <http://www.jptronics.org/pix/rao7/>
>
> I'm asking \$150 for the receiver, but would entertain an offer that comes
> with a good story or an interesting shack tour.. :)
>
> This radio is quite heavy, so I won't ship it. But, I'll be happy to deliver
> it to any of the upcoming NC or VA hamfests, to Dayton, to the Orlando
> hamfest later this week, or anywhere along the way. Or, of course, you're
> welcome to pick it up.
>
> 73, John K4OZY Chapel Hill, NC
>
>

--

Date: Mon, 04 Feb 2008 20:03:34 -0500
From: Edward J White <wa3bzt@verizon.net>
Subject: Re: Power Up BC-348-0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <47A7B5E6.70206@verizon.net>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Hi James and all the group:

The BC-348-0 is up and doing well. The power up went well and the fact that I forgot before power up the unit to connect pins 2 & 6 together that was pointed out by others the rig worked when the power went on. Nice to hear another old rig come back to life. It had been a while since I had turned the rig on and a lot of years since I played with one that it brought back memories of early days in SWL. Thank you all for the information and support.

Ed

WA3BZT

Old radios for ALL people.

JAMES HANLON wrote:

> Ed,

>

> I'm reading from my Surplus Radio Conversion Manual, Volume 1, second
> edition, 1948 right now. For the BC348 (all but the J, Q, and N models),
> connections to the output plug (original) are as follows: 1- Output (phones
> or speaker), 2 - B plus, 3 - 28 volts plus, 4 - 28 volts plus, 5 - Output,
> 6 - Screen grid voltage to IF, 7 - Ground (B minus, filament common). On
> the schematic, pin 8 is also shown as a ground connection.

>

> The text of the conversion article also says that you want to connect
> together terminals 2 and 6, the B plus and the IF screen voltage terminals.

>

> As to your audio output questions, my schematic shows that the side of the
> output transformer connected to pin 5 is grounded internally. It also shows
> that the two audio output jacks are connected across the line to the output
> transformer. The conversion text says that the output transformer has two
> connections, for 500 and 4500 ohms. It suggests you use an output
> transformer to match to a speaker. You could connect that transformer
> either to the Output pins 1 and 5, or to a plug that goes into one of the
> phone jacks. You should be able to use another of the phone jacks for your
> headphones as well.

>

> I could scan in and send you the conversion article if you'd like to have
> it. It is only about 10 pages. The schematic is severed in the middle and
> a little of it has been lost, but it could still be quite useful. Let me
> know if you want it.

>

> Jim Hanlon, W8KGI, knjhanlon@msn.com

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Edward J White" <wa3bzt@verizon.net>

> To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

> Sent: Sunday, February 03, 2008 11:26 AM

> Subject: Power Up BC-348-0

>

>

>

>> Hi Gang:

>> Getting ready to power up the BC-348-0 after a couple of years. And just
>> want to check to make sure that I am doing things correct. On the power
>> connector +28 volts to Pins 3 & 4, - 28 volts to Pins 7 & 8.

>> Do I ground pin 5 audio output also?

>> T 101A is set to pin 4, 4000 ohms

>>

>> On the audio output is there a way to tie the rig to a speaker and still

>> use the WEC0 headset?

>>

>> Ed

>> WA3BZT

>>

>>

>

>

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 4144
